

## Stanwick Neighbourhood Plan Informal Public Consultation Event 7th November 2015

### Housing Policies - Responses From Attendees

#### Are you a resident of Stanwick

Yes	No	If "No" please state your interest
67	1	

#### Do you support these policies

Yes	No
60	8

#### Comments on specific policies

Comments on specific policies	Response by SG	Suggested change to draft NP Policies
Apart from the rural excetion-not exactly sure what that means. Don't want rural land to be built on	Noted	
Current policies are not adhered to .Huge warehouses can be seen for miles around and disrupt TV signals	Noted	
Leave Stanwick as it is	Noted	
230 homes off West Street must not go ahead	Noted	
New housing must not be such that village resources and infrastructurebecome exhausted	Noted	
No Doctor, no vet, no police. School unable to take more pupils. Traffic/parking problems.	Noted	

**Comments on specific policies**

**Response by SG**

**Suggested change to draft NP Policies**

<p>Regarding the Gladman appeal object to the proposed development particularly for the additional traffic chaos it will cause through Stanwicks old , narrow streets, which are too narrow and congested for a one way system. Gladmans proposal should be considered with regard to the proposed building within Raunds not Stanwick alone. Then of course theres doctors, schools.....</p>	<p>Noted</p>	
<p>Leave Stanwick as it is. We moved herefor to get peace and quiet and we have lived here for 13 years. Would not change the area for anything.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	
<p>Housing. Outline planning permission has already been given and it seems that earlier protests were a waste of time. Traffic in and out of thevillage at peak times already difficult</p>	<p>Noted</p>	
<p>H2 Do not agree with the premis that development on rural land will be accepted.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	
<p>While generally supporting policies in H I cannot support policies where details are not spelt out for the public to understand. H2 where are the rural exception sites as detailed in the Joint Core Strategy. In H4 where are the unallocated sites in Stanwick. In H9 what effect does Building for Life have on planning applications catering for housing in Stanwick.</p>	<p>Noted</p>	
<p>Facilities are not in situ to support extra inhabitants. Main Street inadequate for existing traffic let alone more. School could not cope with extra children</p>	<p>Noted</p>	

End

**Stanwick Neighbourhood Plan Informal Public Consultation Event 7th November 2015**

**Housing Design - Responses From Attendees**

**Are you a resident of Stanwick**

<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
52	

<b>If "No" please state your interest</b>

**Do you support these policies**

<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
50	2

**Comments on specific policies**

**Response by SG**

**Suggested change to draft NP Policies**

Designs must retain a village image	Noted	
Every development should include an archaeological survey or assessment	Noted	
In this area where there is history of ancient development, settlements and buildings, an archaeological survey should be an essential part.	Noted	
I am not bothered by the design as I don't agree with more houses being built.	Noted	
New housing must not be allowed if it is likely to reduce the quality of life for those living in the village	Noted	
While I support the policies HD in principle there are omissions here. The designs should incorporate a high percentage of affordable homes, homes for rental and first time buyers. There should be a bias against 4&5 bed properties and small developments of executive housing and more for young people in the village	Noted	
Stanwick doesn't have 1 character but several very different ones. Which one does an architect pick	Noted	

End

## Stanwick Neighbourhood Plan Informal Public Consultation Event 7th November 2015

### Responses From Attendees

#### Do you support these policies

Open Spaces		Heritage		Infrastructure	
Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
67		66		64	

#### Are you a resident of Stanwick

Yes	No	If "No" please state your interest
60	1	Daily visitor

#### Comments on specific policies

#### Response by SG

#### Suggested change to draft NP Policies

It is imperative that green space is ring fenced in Stanwick along with our heritage	Noted	
With regard to infrastructure - could this be extended to school places/medical facilities?	Noted	
HTG 6 does this include archaeological and historical surveys?	Noted	
INF Water too low pressure at present. If loo is being flushed can't use shower.	Noted	
Infrastructure is woefully inadequate now and will be worse with further development	Noted	
OS 5 Would be unenforceable under current planning legislation	Noted	
INF 1 Same applies to this policy, utility companies will not be obliged to supply capacity information	Noted	

End

**Stanwick Neighbourhood Plan Informal Public Consultation Event 7th November 2015**

**Traffic Policies - Responses From Attendees**

**Are you a resident of Stanwick**

<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
67	1

<b>If "No" please state your interest</b>
Daily visitor

**Do you support these policies**

<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
64	2

**Comments on specific policies**

**Response by SG**

**Suggested change to draft NP Policies**

To increase legal parking on the corner of High St/Spencer Parade, consider putting 4? Parking on this area	Noted	
Should specific mention be made to providing safe pedestrian/bike link to Stanwick Lakes*. Crossing road outside school in Church St can be very tricky. To encourage more walkers could consideration be to "school" zig-zags outside Acorn Nursery or zebra crossing**.	Noted	*This is a Local Plan Policy issue ** These are community issues rather than Neighbourhood Plan issues
T 6 Particular care should be taken to enforce this policy	Noted	
Something needs to be done about parking along Spencer Parade - can be very dangerous at times - traffic lights?	Noted	

Comments on specific policies	Response by SG	Suggested change to draft NP Policies
T 4 Traffic calming is already in place with parked cars	Noted	
The off-street parking for the number of bedrooms is essential, most families have 2 cars plus.	Noted	
Church St is very hazardous as traffic parking restricts access in both directions	Noted	
I am a resident in the centre of the village and do not see any issue parking, I would be against any restrictions on parking in the village	Noted	
Mornings is not good. Queues to Chowns Mill roundabout on A45 from Stanwick are unacceptable in the morning.	Noted	
Most of the traffic policies are already County Council/District Council policies. Policy T 6 cannot be enforced by the Parish	Noted	
However, not sure if traffic calming bumps on Spencer Parade/West St will help - just more congestion - parking is more of an issue	Noted	
Parking is a nightmare in High St and the speed of some vehicles is ridiculous. The corner opposite the club especially dangerous. ? speed restriction. Also many vehicles double park so a car can hardly squeeze through let alone emergency vehicles. As a resident of High St I find it very difficult to park in front of my own house especially if there is an event at the village hall, the club and the bistro	Noted	
Public transport - X46/X47 to improve timekeeping. ? Buses to Rushden Lakes when opened. West St roundabout? traffic lights. A45 widening to go ahead	Noted	
Can we have a 20mph speed limit across the village	Noted	
Suggest create parking for vehicles in West St by digging up the pavement and spare ground opposite the houses and Potters butchers shop. Then there will be free flow of traffic in both directions	Noted	
There is definitely parking problems <u>throughout</u> Stanwick as many properties were built before the automobile. I suggest that the proposed survey be carried out other than mid-week daytime. Try evenings and weekends!	Noted	

**Comments on specific policies****Response by SG****Suggested change to draft NP Policies**

T 1 The parking situation around the village shop/club/village hall/pub is already impossible!	Noted	
Strongly support the need for builders to plan off-road parking spaces per bedroom	Noted	
T 6 Excellent - about time multi-vehicle occupation was recognised	Noted	
Parking is a real issue in Stanwick with nowhere to place a car park. More houses would make the situation worse.	Noted	

End

**Stanwick Neighbourhood Plan Informal Public Consultation Event 7th November 2015**

**Responses From Attendees - General comment**

	<b>Response by SG</b>	<b>Suggested change to draft NP Policies</b>
Traffic reduction through Stanwick	Noted	
Where can people park in the village?? To use the village hall, this place??	Noted	
I do not agree with the referendum question. ENC will have a legal duty to consider the Stanwick Neighbourhood Plan. Surely the question is whether residents approve of the plan - Yes or No	Noted	
Pollution in Stanwick, parks, and allotment space. Care of wild life.	Noted	
Water and gas pressure already insufficient in some areas of the village	Noted	
Safe access for cyclists and pedestrians to Stanwick Lakes	Noted	
Consideration of traffic movement. Should Spencer Parade be one way?	Noted	

End